

# Predictive analysis and Design of Simulation Model for Effective Traffic Management for Two major junctions of Pune City

<sup>[1]</sup>Kalyani Kulkarni, <sup>[2]</sup>Sneha Khedekar, <sup>[3]</sup>Ninad Pande

**Abstract :-** This paper deals with traffic volume studies to determine the volume of traffic moving on the roads and classification of roadway vehicles at a particular section during particular time. Volumes of a day or an hour can vary greatly depending on the different day of the week or different time period of a day. Traffic volume survey is determination of number, movement and classification of roadway vehicles at a given location.

Traffic volume is the most delicate information to implement transportation planning, design and to start new transportation modes. The data collection and collector both should be good and sound. Traffic volume counting should be accurate. Choose vantage point; if don't have then select a reference station. In case of manually counting try to keep a hand counting machine. In this paper we have chosen simulation model as the problem of uncontrolled traffic is rising in the city. The management of traffic has become a necessity. The model and its analysis are helpful for prediction of the traffic volume at the end of five years at selected junctions in Pune city facing maximum traffic congestion.

**Keywords:** - traffic volume, simulation model, major junctions, prediction, five years

## I. INTRODUCTION

Effective traffic management is the need of the hour as the vehicular population and the problem caused by traffic congestion are ever increasing. There is no system adopted for traffic management in our city; however no mechanism has been implemented for co-operation and co-ordination.

Several studies related to traffic density, volume, congestion problems were conducted. This covered traffic systems like bus rapid transit, non motorised transport and public transport.

Due to scarcity of resources and desire to streamline the arrangement, a simulation model has been put to use. We plan to design a simulation model that will help us predict the traffic situation as well as the traffic volume at a particular junction for future.

Through this simulation model we can apply the remedial measures such as changes in road dimension, alternative routes to avoid traffic congestion, construction of flyovers or subways.

Traffic volume studies are conducted to determine the volume of traffic moving on the roads and classifications of roadway vehicles at a particular section during a particular time. Volumes of a day or an hour can vary greatly, depending on the different day of the week or different time period of a day. Traffic Volume survey is the determination of the

number, movement and classifications of roadway vehicles at a given location.

## II. AIM & OBJECTIVES

The aim of the study is to design the simulation model for forecasting and solving issues related to traffic congestion by predicting the traffic volume in the future from the analysis of the current traffic volume.

To achieve this aim following objectives were set:

1. Identification of the traffic problem in a certain area (nal stop and mundhwa-keshavnagar junction).
2. Study of traffic volume and data collection.
3. Design of simulation model.
4. Recommendation of remedial measures.

## III. METHODOLOGY

1. Problem identification based on current traffic congestion problems.
2. Selection of junctions, time periods, vehicle type.
3. Data collection by manual/counter method.
4. Analysis based on Monte Carlo Simulation method.
5. Prediction of increase in traffic volume for next 5 years based on results obtained.
6. Remedial measures suggested tackling traffic problem.

#### IV. DATA COLLECTION

For the predictive analysis we needed the current traffic volume. Out of the many methods available for finding the current traffic volume we selected the manual method. Considering the generalized traffic scenario at both the junctions, for collection of this traffic volume we selected the time slots of one hour in the peak hours in the morning and evening and one hour in the slack hours in the afternoon. We recorded the traffic by taking video recording.

##### a) NAL STOP

This junction connects prime commercial areas such as Deccan and Shivajinagar. It also connects prime residential areas like Kothrud, Karvenagar and Warje. These areas have large number of schools and colleges and are considered the heart of the city. Thus we observe crowded roads having mixed traffic conditions.

**Table 1**  
*Nal stop readings – 9-10am*

| Road direction        | Sr. No. | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler  | 4 wheeler   | heavy      |             |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Towards Deccan        | 1       | 2172        | 280        | 756         | 72         |             |
| Towards Kothrud       | 2       | 1028        | 340        | 456         | 132        |             |
| Towards Mhatre bridge | 3       | 2316        | 186        | 588         | 36         |             |
|                       |         | <b>5516</b> | <b>806</b> | <b>1800</b> | <b>240</b> | <b>8362</b> |

**Table 2**  
*Nal stop readings – 2-3 pm*

| Road direction        | Sr. No | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler  | 4 wheeler   | heavy      |             |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Towards Deccan        | 1      | 1184        | 288        | 536         | 100        |             |
| Towards Kothrud       | 2      | 1624        | 356        | 672         | 108        |             |
| Towards Mhatre bridge | 3      | 1902        | 240        | 534         | 66         |             |
|                       |        | <b>4710</b> | <b>884</b> | <b>1742</b> | <b>274</b> | <b>7610</b> |

**Table 3**  
*Nal stop readings – 7-8 pm*

| Road direction        | Sr.no | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler   | 4 wheeler   | heavy      |             |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Towards Deccan        | 1     | 1920        | 432         | 858         | 120        |             |
| Towards Kothrud       | 2     | 2234        | 376         | 875         | 112        |             |
| Towards Mhatre bridge | 3     | 1452        | 225         | 658         | 62         |             |
|                       |       | <b>5606</b> | <b>1033</b> | <b>2391</b> | <b>294</b> | <b>9324</b> |

From the above data we get to know about the current overall traffic scenario at Nal Stop. From the analysis of this data we can clearly see that the two wheeler traffic is the maximum compared to the other three vehicle types.

##### b) KESHAVNAGAR – MUNDHWA JUNCTION

The area connected by this junction has largely developed over the past few years due to many corporate companies having their large campuses and also development of residential and commercial places like Magarpatta City, Amanora Park Town, Seasons Mall and many other famous hotel chains

**Table 4**  
*Mundhwa readings – 9-10am*

| ROAD DIRECTION        | Sr no. | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler  | 4 wheeler   | heavy      |             |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| towards nagar road    | 1      | 1052        | 210        | 845         | 108        |             |
| towards seasons       | 2      | 1952        | 198        | 863         | 114        |             |
| towards keshavnagar   | 3      | 757         | 157        | 923         | 49         |             |
| towards passport off. | 4      | 1423        | 212        | 821         | 98         |             |
|                       |        | <b>5184</b> | <b>777</b> | <b>3452</b> | <b>369</b> | <b>9782</b> |

**TABLE 5**  
*Mundhwa readings – 2-3pm*

| Road direction        | sr no. | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler  | 4 wheeler   | heavy      |             |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Towards nagar road    | 1      | 639         | 103        | 430         | 53         |             |
| Towards seasons       | 2      | 986         | 91         | 523         | 49         |             |
| Towards keshavnagar   | 3      | 452         | 67         | 578         | 43         |             |
| Towards passport off. | 4      | 782         | 107        | 334         | 19         |             |
|                       |        | <b>2859</b> | <b>468</b> | <b>1865</b> | <b>164</b> | <b>5356</b> |

**Table 6**  
**Mundhwa readings – 7-8 pm**

| Road direction        | sr. no. | 2 wheeler   | 3 wheeler  | 4 wheeler   | Heavy      |              |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| towards nagar road    | 1       | 1120        | 202        | 861         | 111        |              |
| towards seasons       | 2       | 2051        | 201        | 884         | 117        |              |
| towards keshawnagar   | 3       | 921         | 221        | 924         | 101        |              |
| towards passport off. | 4       | 1578        | 163        | 817         | 54         |              |
|                       |         | <b>5670</b> | <b>787</b> | <b>3486</b> | <b>483</b> | <b>10426</b> |

This suggests that the two wheeler based traffic is the maximum. But as compared to the other areas of the city, the 4 wheeler based traffic is greater as the connecting areas have large commercial and corporate zones.

### V. ANALYSIS

Analysis of data collected was divided in 2 cases:

#### 1. Case A : Keeping direction and time constant (vehicular type analysis) NAL STOP JUNCTION Time : 9-10am

Vehicle type: Two wheeler

| Direction     | Sr. No. | 2 wheeler   | cum. Freq | range     | year | random nos | freq         |
|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------|------------|--------------|
| Deccan        | 1       | 2172        | 2172      | 0-2171    | 1    | 451        | 2172         |
| Kothrud       | 2       | 1028        | 3200      | 2172-3199 | 2    | 1156       | 2172         |
| mhatre bridge | 3       | 2316        | 5516      | 3200-5516 | 3    | 2791       | 1028         |
|               |         |             |           |           | 4    | 4672       | 2316         |
|               |         |             |           |           | 5    | 5353       | 2316         |
|               |         | <b>5516</b> |           |           |      |            | <b>10004</b> |

Vehicle type: Three wheeler traffic

| Direction     | 3 wheeler  | cum. Freq | range   | Year | random nos | freq        |
|---------------|------------|-----------|---------|------|------------|-------------|
| Deccan        | 280        | 280       | 0-279   | 1    | 101        | 280         |
| kothrud       | 340        | 620       | 280-619 | 2    | 304        | 340         |
| mhatre bridge | 186        | 806       | 620-806 | 3    | 413        | 340         |
|               |            |           |         | 4    | 599        | 340         |
|               |            |           |         | 5    | 734        | 186         |
|               | <b>806</b> |           |         |      |            | <b>1486</b> |

Vehicle type: Four wheeler traffic

| Direction     | 4 wheeler   | CF   | range     | Year | RN   | freq        |
|---------------|-------------|------|-----------|------|------|-------------|
| Deccan        | 756         | 756  | 0-755     | 1    | 356  | 756         |
| Kothrud       | 456         | 1212 | 756-1211  | 2    | 609  | 756         |
| mhatre bridge | 588         | 1800 | 1212-1800 | 3    | 904  | 456         |
|               |             |      |           | 4    | 1270 | 588         |
|               |             |      |           | 5    | 1696 | 588         |
|               | <b>1800</b> |      |           |      |      | <b>3144</b> |

Vehicle type: Heavy Vehicles

| Direction     | heavy      | CF  | range   | year | RN  | freq       |
|---------------|------------|-----|---------|------|-----|------------|
| Deccan        | 72         | 72  | 0-71    | 1    | 29  | 72         |
| Kothrud       | 132        | 204 | 72-203  | 2    | 45  | 72         |
| mhatre bridge | 36         | 240 | 204-240 | 3    | 97  | 132        |
|               |            |     |         | 4    | 169 | 132        |
|               |            |     |         | 5    | 235 | 36         |
|               | <b>240</b> |     |         |      |     | <b>444</b> |

#### 2. Case B : Vehicle and duration constant: NAL STOP JUNCTION

Towards deccan  
Time: 9-10am

| vehicle type | towards deccan | CF   | range     | year | RN   | freq        |
|--------------|----------------|------|-----------|------|------|-------------|
| 2 wheeler    | 2172           | 2172 | 0-2171    | 1    | 1014 | 2172        |
| 3 wheeler    | 280            | 2452 | 2172-2451 | 2    | 1736 | 2172        |
| 4 wheeler    | 756            | 3208 | 2452-3207 | 3    | 2463 | 756         |
| Heavy        | 72             | 3280 | 3208-3280 | 4    | 2902 | 756         |
|              |                |      |           | 5    | 3127 | 756         |
|              | <b>3280</b>    |      |           |      |      | <b>6612</b> |

Time: 2-3pm

| vehicle type | towards deccan | CF   | range     | year | RN   | freq        |
|--------------|----------------|------|-----------|------|------|-------------|
| 2 wheeler    | 1184           | 1184 | 0-1183    | 1    | 966  | 1184        |
| 3 wheeler    | 288            | 1472 | 1184-1471 | 2    | 1270 | 288         |
| 4 wheeler    | 536            | 2008 | 1472-2007 | 3    | 1542 | 536         |
| Heavy        | 100            | 2108 | 2008-2108 | 4    | 1754 | 536         |
|              |                |      |           | 5    | 2008 | 100         |
|              | <b>2108</b>    |      |           |      |      | <b>2644</b> |

Time: 7-8pm

Similar calculations were done for case A and B for other junctions and time periods.

VI. RESULTS & OUTCOME

1. Case A : Keeping direction and time constant  
OUTCOME: Which type of vehicle is causing max. traffic.

| Road                | 2 wheeler |         | 3 wheeler |         | 4 wheeler |         | heavy   |         |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
|                     | 2015-16   | 2020-21 | 2015-16   | 2020-21 | 2015-16   | 2020-21 | 2015-16 | 2020-21 |
| Nal stop            |           |         |           |         |           |         |         |         |
| time 9-10           | 5516      | 10004   | 806       | 1486    | 1800      | 3144    | 240     | 444     |
| time2-3             | 4710      | 8954    | 884       | 1596    | 1742      | 3086    | 274     | 524     |
| time 7-8            | 5606      | 9292    | 1055      | 1992    | 2391      | 4124    | 294     | 518     |
| Mundhwa-keshavnagar |           |         |           |         |           |         |         |         |
| time 9-10           | 5184      | 7136    | 777       | 989     | 3452      | 4351    | 369     | 467     |
| time2-3             | 2859      | 3988    | 468       | 487     | 1865      | 2498    | 164     | 213     |
| time 7-8            | 5670      | 7522    | 787       | 950     | 3486      | 4326    | 483     | 500     |




Fig.1 Comparison of 2 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time:

| vehicle type | towards deccan | CF   | range     |
|--------------|----------------|------|-----------|
| 2 wheeler    | 1920           | 1920 | 0-1919    |
| 3 wheeler    | 432            | 2352 | 1920-2351 |
| 4 wheeler    | 858            | 3210 | 2352-3209 |
| Heavy        | 120            | 3330 | 3210-3330 |

3330

| year | RN   | freq |
|------|------|------|
| 1    | 1014 | 1920 |
| 2    | 1432 | 1920 |
| 3    | 2008 | 432  |
| 4    | 2556 | 858  |
| 5    | 3073 | 858  |
|      |      | 5988 |

Location: Nal Stop




Fig.2 Comparison of 3 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time:

Location: Nal Stop




Fig.3 Comparison of 4 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time:

Location: Nal Stop




Fig.4 Comparison of heavy vehicle traffic based on time:

Location: Nalstop




Fig.5 Comparison of 2 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time:

Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar




Fig.6 Comparison of 3 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time:

Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar




Fig.7 Comparison of 4 wheeler vehicle traffic based on time: Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar




Fig.8 Comparison of heavy vehicle traffic based on time:


Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar

2. CASE B: Keeping vehicle type and duration and direction constant


| Road                       | time: 9-10am |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                            | 2015-16      | 2020-21      |
| <b>nal stop</b>            |              |              |
| Towards deccan             | 3280         | 6612         |
| towards kothrud            | 1956         | 3996         |
| Towards mhatre bridge      | 3126         | 5994         |
|                            | <b>8362</b>  | <b>16602</b> |
| <b>mundhwa-keshavnagar</b> |              |              |
| Towards nagar road         | 2215         | 4432         |
| Towards seasons            | 3127         | 5079         |
| Towards keshavnagar        | 1886         | 3517         |
| Towards passport off.      | 2554         | 4700         |
|                            | <b>9782</b>  | <b>17728</b> |

| Road                       | time: 2-3pm |              |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                            | 2015-16     | 2015-16      |
| <b>nal stop</b>            |             |              |
| Towards deccan             | 2108        | 2108         |
| towards kothrud            | 2760        | 2760         |
| Towards mhatre bridge      | 2742        | 2742         |
|                            | <b>7610</b> | <b>7610</b>  |
| <b>mundhwa-keshavnagar</b> |             |              |
| Towards nagar road         | 1225        | 1225         |
| Towards seasons            | 1649        | 1649         |
| Towards keshavnagar        | 1140        | 1140         |
| Towards passport off.      | 1242        | 1242         |
|                            | <b>9782</b> | <b>17728</b> |

| time: 7-8pm           |              |              |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Road                  | 2015-16      | 2015-16      |
| nal stop              |              |              |
| Towards deccan        | 3330         | 3330         |
| towards kothrud       | 3597         | 3597         |
| Towards mhatre bridge | 2397         | 2397         |
|                       | <b>9324</b>  | <b>9324</b>  |
| mundhwa-keshavnagar   |              |              |
| Towards nagar road    | 2294         | 2294         |
| Towards seasons       | 3253         | 3253         |
| Towards keshavnagar   | 2167         | 2167         |
| Towards passport off. | 2612         | 2612         |
|                       | <b>10326</b> | <b>10326</b> |




**Fig.11 Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**



**Fig.9 Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**


Location: Nal Stop  
Time: 9am-10am



**Fig.10 Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**


Location: Nal Stop  
Time: 2pm-3pm

Location: Nalstop  
Time: 7pm-8pm




**Fig.12 Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**

Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar  
Time: 9am-10am



**Fig.13 Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**


Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar  
Time: 2 pm - 3 pm



**Fig.14. Comparison of traffic based on direction of traffic:**


Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar

Time – 7pm-8pm



**Comparison of total traffic at various time slots**

**Location: Nalstop**



**Comparison of total traffic at various time slots**

**Location: Mundhwa-Keshavnagar**

## VII. CONCLUSION

From the analysis of the current traffic condition, and depending on the data we predicted the traffic volume data after a five year period. The irrational rise in the traffic volume data is studied and depending on the data remedial measures are suggested. The irregular rise in vehicular traffic is shown with the help of bar graphs. From the simulation data we can see that the traffic volume has almost doubled in the predicted time period (5 years). The same simulation model can be used to predict the traffic volume for even 10 or greater number of years. But, as there is so much traffic rise in just five years recommendations are made on the predictive analysis of five years. The remedial measures suggested can be included in the development plans by the Municipal Corporation or local governing authorities to avoid the traffic congestion problems in future.

Remedial measures that can be implemented are:

1. Road widening can be done where there is enough space available.
2. Delhi pattern can be followed i.e. vehicles having odd number in the unit place of the number plate are allowed on odd days and vehicles having even number on even days. It is more of complicated remedy having much criticism but if followed perfectly it is a good solution.
3. Reductions of existing elements, for e.g. bus stops on critical turns can be shifted to some other location and can be avoided near to junctions.
4. Provision of grade separation and flyovers.
5. Diversion of traffic can be done wherever parallel road options are available.
6. Change of pattern of vehicular flow, provision of one ways.
7. Use of smart traffic control and signalling systems by use of traffic volume sensor.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Amudapuram Mohan Rao , Kalaga Ramachandra Rao, "MEASURING URBAN TRAFFIC CONGESTION – A REVIEW", International Journal for Tra-c and Transport Engineering, 2012, 2(4): 286 – 305
- [2] Mingkuan Wang, Li Kexin, "Transportation Model Application for the Planning of Low Carbon City Take Xining City in China as Example The 4th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and

- Technologies (ANT 2013), the 3rd International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology (SEIT-2013)
- [3] Arvind Jayaraman, Ashley Micks, Ethan Gross, "Creating 3D Virtual Driving Environments for Simulation-aided Development of Autonomous Driving and Active Safety, SAE International
- [4] The road traffic management corporation: Case study (International)
- [5] Explore the cost of road traffic management and construction issues to think: (Case Study: International)
- [6] Prof. K.v.Krishna rao (iit powai), "Evaluation of development plan towards sustainability for pune metropolitan area" Zonal details of pune city from sarang awhad commissioner (pune city traffic dept.)

