

Effect of Soap Effluent on the Geotechnical Characteristics of Red Soil

^[1] Chandrashekhara A S, ^[2] Preethusha A, ^[3] K V S B Raju

^[1] Research Scholar ^[2] Post Graduate Student ^[3] Assistant Professor, ^{[1][2][3]} UVCE, Bangalore

^[1] cvshekar31@gmail.com, ^[2] apreethusha@gmail.com, ^[3] kvsbraju.2007@gmail.com

Abstract: Due to industrialization the amount of effluent discharged in environment has increased rapidly with time. The safe disposal of these effluent is a major issue that is been faced all over the world. One of the major predicaments faced by geotechnical engineers around the world is land contamination. The effluent when it comes in contact with soil causes changes the engineering behaviour of the soil which may affect the stability of the foundation and pavements. In some cases the changes caused by these effluents can either improve or degrade the engineering behaviour of soil. If there is an improvement in engineering behaviour of soil, there is a value addition to the industrial wastes serving the three benefits of safe disposal of effluent, using as a stabilizer and return of income on it. If there is degradation of engineering behaviour of soil then solution for decontamination is to be obtained. Hence an attempt is made in this present study that the effect of certain Industrial effluents such as Soap effluent, on the plasticity, compaction characteristic and shear strength parameters of red soil. Effluents were added in the percentage of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% and 25% by the dry weight of the soil for a curing period of 7, 14, 28 and 60 days. Results of the study shows that Soap Effluent increases the maximum dry density with decrease in optimum moisture content, lowers the liquid limit, plastic limit and higher the shrinkage limit. It can be inferred that the increase in percentage of soap effluent with increase in curing period causes the marginal variations in geotechnical properties of red soil. When soil interacts with industrial effluents, the interaction changes the pore fluid chemistry and subsequently the thickness of diffused double layer. These changes are likely to be reflected by variation in engineering properties.

Keywords: -- Degradation, Diffused double layer, Pore fluid chemistry, Soap effluent

I. INTRODUCTION

Geoenvironmental engineering is the application of newly developed environmental concepts in the geotechnical engineering. The development of modern life especially due to the connected of industries and other developments have given rise to large risks of soil contamination. With the industrial development in India, the waste management systems did not develop accordingly. Almost all industries are seen to discharge their wastes into water and on land without any treatment or after partial treatment. Indiscriminate disposal of liquid and solid wastes, especially on land, has caused serious environmental and also geotechnical problems. The inorganic and organic pollutants present in industrial effluents generally affect the geotechnical properties of soil. An understanding of the soil-pollutant interactions and the effect of various contaminants or industrial effluents on geotechnical properties of soils helps for assessing the suitability of ground soil for engineering purpose or initiate suitable measures to make the ground soil fit for construction. If there is an improvement in engineering behavior of soil, there is a value addition to the industrial wastes serving the three benefits of safe disposal of effluent, using as a stabilizer and return of income on it.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

A. Red Soil

The red soil was procured from Rona, Gadag district, Karnataka, which was collected from a borrow pit of 1.0m depth from the existing ground level. The soil sample has been tested in the laboratory to determine the engineering properties as per the relevant IS code procedure.

Table 1. Properties of Red Soil

| S. No. | Properties | | Values |
|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Grain Size Distribution | Gravel | 0% |
| | | Sand | 52.60% |
| | | Silt and Clay | 47.40% |
| 2 | Specific Gravity (G) | | 2.5 |
| 3 | Water Content (Natural) (w) | | 1.97% |
| 4 | Liquid Limit (WL) | | 35% |
| 5 | Plastic Limit (WP) | | 16% |
| 6 | Plasticity index (Ip) | | 19% |
| 7 | Shrinkage Limit (WS) | | 15% |
| 8 | Optimum Moisture Content (O.M.C) | | 15.48% |
| 9 | Maximum Dry Density (M.D.D.) | | 17.77kN/m ³ |
| 10 | Differential free swell | | 20% |
| 11 | Shear Strength Parameters | 18.55kN/m ² | 18.55kN/m ² |
| | | 28.44° | 28.44° |

B. Soap Effluent

In the present study the soap effluent is collected from Karnataka soaps and detergents limited, Yeshwantpura located in Bangalore, Karnataka. The untreated effluent was manually collected from the soap industry ,in 20 liters plastic cans (air tight containers).

The soap effluent was a colorless liquid with foul smell. Following are the chemical properties of soap effluent. pH was found to 8.7, hence the effluent is alkaline in nature.

Table 2. Properties of Soap Effluent

| Sl. No. | Parameter | Value (mg/l) |
|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | Sodium | 361.8 |
| 2 | Potassium | 32.7 |
| 3 | Calcium | 24429 |
| 4 | Chloride | 854.9 |

C. Sample Preparation

Samples were collected in jute bags, air dried and transported to the laboratory. It is further dried and pulverized and sieved through a sieve of 4.75 mm to eliminate pebbles and vegetative matter, if any and divided soil samples into 6 portions. Soap effluent was added to each of the portions at 0 to 25% in increments of 5%. Soil-Soap effluent mixture was thoroughly mixed and stored in air container for different curing periods of 7, 14 28 and 60 days for allowing possible reaction. Further, the soil effluent mixtures were air dried for 48 hours before testing and all the tests were carried out as per the relevant Indian Standards.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Effect on Liquid limit

The variation in liquid limit for different percentage and curing period is as shown in Figure 1. It was observed that the liquid limit decreased with increase in the percentage of effluent added ,whereas with respect to curing period, liquid limit decreases up to a curing period of 14 days and then increased . the maximum reduced value of liquid limit is 28% for a curing period of 14 days.




Fig. 1. Variation in liquid limit of red soil mixed with soap effluent

B. Effect on Plastic limit

The variation in plastic limit for different percentage and curing period is as shown in Figure 2. It was observed that the plastic limit decreased with increase in the percentage of effluent added, whereas with respect to curing period, plastic limit decreases up to a curing period of 14 days and then increased. The maximum reduced value of plastic limit is 14.22% for a curing period of 14 days.




Fig. 2. Variation in Plastic limit of red soil mixed with soap effluent

C. Effect on Shrinkage limit

The variation in shrinkage limit for different percentage and curing period is as shown in Figure 3. It was observed that the variation in shrinkage limit is marginal and shrinkage limit increases with increase in percentage of effluent and with respect to curing period the shrinkage increased for curing period of 7 and 14 days and for 28 days, 60 days it decreased.




Fig.3. Variation in Shrinkage limit of red soil mixed with soap effluent

D. Effect on Maximum Dry Density

The variation in maximum dry density for different percentage of effluent and curing period is as shown in Figure 4. It was observed that the maximum dry density slightly increase with increase in percentage of effluent added and curing period. The MDD increased from 17.77 kN/m³ to 17.95 kN/m³.




Fig.4. Variation in MDD of red soil mixed with soap effluent

E. Effect on Optimum Moisture Content

The variation in optimum moisture content for different percentage of effluent and curing period is as shown in Figure 5. It was observed that the OMC decreased with increase percentage of effluent added and curing period the OMC decreased from 15.48% to 15.37%.




Fig. 5. Variation in OMC of red soil mixed with soap effluent

F. Effect on Differential Free Swell Index

The variation in differential free swell for different percentage of effluent and curing period is shown in Figure 6. It was observed that the variation in differential free swell was marginal for initial percentages. It was also observed that the differential free swell remain unchanged after 28 days.th differential free swell reduced from 20% to 10%.




Fig. 6. Variation in DFSI of red soil mixed with soap effluent

G. Effect on Cohesion

The variation in cohesion for different percentage of effluent and curing period is as shown in Figure 7. It was observed that the cohesion increased by increase in percentage of effluent and increased with curing period. The cohesion increased from 18.55 kN/m² to 19.72 kN/m².




Fig. 7. Variation in Cohesion of red soil mixed with soap effluent

H. Effect on Angle of internal friction

The variation in angle of internal friction for different percentage of effluent and curing period is as shown in Figure 8. It was observed that the angle of internal friction decreases by increase in percentage of effluent and curing period. The angle of internal friction decreased from 28.41° to 27.88°.




Fig. 8. Variation in Angle of Internal Friction of red soil mixed with soap effluent

IV. MECHANISM INVOLVED IN SOIL-SOAP EFFLUENT MIXTURE

The following mechanisms are observed for the variation in the geotechnical properties of contaminated Red soil:

1. For red soil treated with soap effluent was observed that there was decrease in liquid limit and plastic limit with increase in percentage of effluent added up to curing period of 14 days a maximum reduced value of 28.6% and then increased. This is due to the replacement of monovalent cation by divalent Ca (2+) cation and the increase in liquid limit with curing period is due to flocculation of particle.
2. It was observed that there was marginal variation in maximum dry density as well as the optimum moisture content. It was observed that there was slight decrease in OMC with increase in percentage of effluent and curing periods. In the presence of high valence cation (Ca²⁺), the distance between the particle decreases by decreasing the repulsive force and increase in attractive force. Hence the particles pack better together because of which there is a slight increment in the dry density of soil. Consequently on particle becoming closer will have decrease in water holding capacity because of which the optimum moisture content will decrease.
3. The shear strength parameters, cohesion increased with increase in percentage of effluent added and curing period where as there was marginal decrease in angle of internal friction with increase percentage of effluent and curing period. An increase in the valiancy of the exchangeable cation increases inters particle attractive forces while reducing the repulsive forces.
4. This leads to an increase in net attractive force in the system and in turn increases in the cohesion of the particle level which favors the development of more flocculent fabric.

V. CONCLUSION

It can be observed that upon addition of soap effluent to red soil, there is marginal variation in index, compaction and strength properties of soil. The liquid limit decreased with increase in the percentage of effluent added; whereas with respect to curing period, liquid limit decreases up to a curing period of 14 days and then increased. The plastic limit decreased with increase in the percentage of effluent added; whereas with respect to curing period, plastic limit decreases up to a curing period of 14 days and then increased. The variation in shrinkage limit is marginal and shrinkage limit increases with increase in percentage of effluent and with respect to curing period the shrinkage

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)
Vol 4, Issue 3, March 2019

increased for curing period of 7 and 14 days and for 28 days, 60 days it decreased. The maximum dry density slightly increases with increase in percentage of effluent added and curing period. The MDD increased from 17.77 kN/m³ to 17.90 kN/m³. The OMC decreased with increase percentage of effluent added and curing period .the OMC decreased from 15.48% to 15.37%. The cohesion increased by increase in percentage of effluent and increased with curing period. The cohesion increased from 18.55kN/m² to 19.72kN/m². The angle of internal friction decreases by increase in percentage of effluent and curing period. The angle of internal friction decreased from 28.41° to 27.88°.It can be inferred that the soil contamination due to soap effluent will not affect the stability of foundations coming into contact with soil, however long term behavior has to be observed.

Contamination",10th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engg., Stockholm,Vol.6, pp. 383-386

REFERENCES

1. Assaad A.(1998) "Differential Upheaval of Phosphoric Acid Storage Tanks in Aquaba-Jordan", ASCE Journal of performance of constructed facilities,Vol. 12, pp.71-76.
2. B.S.R Anjaneyulu, S.P.Raychaudhari, G.S.R Krishnamurthy Indian agricultural research institute new Delhi,july 25 1963
3. Dr.A.V.NarasimhaRao, M.Chittaranjan, "Effect of Certain Industrial Effluents on Compaction Characteristics of an Expansive Soil–A Comparative Study", International Journal of Engineering Inventions ISSN: 2278-7461, www.ijejournal.com Volume 1, Issue 7 (October2012) PP: 22-28.
4. Dr.A.V.NarasimhaRao, M.Chittaranjan,"Effect Of Certain Industrial Effluents On Undrained Shear Strength Behaviour Of An Expansive Soil– A Comparative Study", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol. 1 Issue 8, Oct 2012.
5. Lambe, T.W. and Whitman, R.V. (1969). Soil Mechanics, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
6. P.V shivpullaih "Effects of soil pollution on geotechnical behaviour of soils"IGC 2009, Guntur, India.
7. P.Vshivpullaih"Surprising Soil Behaviour: Is It Really" Indian Geotech J (January–March 2015) 45(1):1–24 DOI 10.1007/s40098-014-0141-3
8. Sridharan.A and shivpullai (2005), "Mini Compaction Test Apparatus for Fine Grained Soils" Geotechnical Testing Journal · May 2005
9. Sridharan, A.Nagaraj, T.S. and Sivapullaiah, P.V.(1981),"Heaving of Soil due to Acid