

International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering

(IJERMCE)

Vol 2, Issue 3, March 2017

# Effect of Process Parameters on Mechanical Properties of Friction Stir Lap Welds of AA6082

<sup>[1]</sup> P. Hema <sup>[2]</sup>G Raghuvadhan Reddy <sup>[3]</sup>M. Reddeiah <sup>[1]</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>[2]</sup>PG Student, <sup>[3]</sup>Academic Consultant

*Abstract:*— In this study experiments were performed to investigate the effects of FSLW process parameters including Tool Rotational Speed, Welding Speed and Tool Tilt Angle on Mechanical properties of Aluminum 6082 alloy in lap joint welding. Using ANOVA, significance and influence of FSLW process parameters were predicted, and Harmony Search Algorithm (HSA) is developed for optimum welding conditions for measuring mechanical properties of the joint.

*Keywords:--* Friction Stir Lap Welding (FSLW), Friction Stir Welding of lap joint, ANOVA, Al-Alloys, Tool Rotation Speed (N), Welding Speed(S), Tool Tilt Angle(TA).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Friction Stir Lap Welding (FSLW) was invented as solid state joining technique at The Welding Institute (TWI), Cambridge in 1991 and it was initially applied to aluminum alloys. The basic principle of Friction Stir Welding is to enable joining of metals by heating the base metal to temperature below the re-crystallization temperature. The majority of FSW studies have been based on butt joint geometry. Lap joint configuration is also widely used in conventional welding and Friction Stir Lap Welding (FSLW) should potentially be applied widely, particularly in automotive and aerospace industries [1]. Conventionally, these joints are produced using riveting, given limited fusion weldability of many high-strength AL alloys in lap configuration can help to realize significant weight and cost savings with improved mechanical performance and reduced manufacturing complexity [1, 2].

The FSW lap joint has been conducted on AA 6082 and determined their mechanical properties with design of experiments using Taguchi method <sup>[3]</sup>. The welding parameters were optimal in order to achieve non-defectivewelding. The welding done by combination of in-situ extrusion with forging due to tool rotation, friction stir welds are not symmetric about weld centerline the side of the weld on which the rotational velocity of the tool has the same direction as the welding velocity is designated the advancing side of the weld. The side of the weld on which the two velocities have opposite direction is designated the retreating side of the weld<sup>[1-3]</sup>.

The Friction Stir Weld tool plays a major role on deciding the strength of the weld joint. Among the various tool profiles the threaded taper cylindrical tools withstand significantly higher loads compared to those made with triangular profile<sup>[4, 5]</sup>.

The FSW lap joint was applied in this research to join and the effect of Tool Rotational Speed, Welding Speed and Tool Tilt Angle on mechanical properties were studied, thus after conducting experiments and testing is doneand the optimization is done through ANOVA and Harmony Search Algorithm.

#### **II.EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

The experiment is designed by using MINITAB 17 software tool in which the Taguchi design is used for it. Based on the number of input factors 9 number of experiments is carried out. The main objective of Taguchi is to optimize that response variable which is influenced by other variable using a developed model of designed experiments<sup>[3]</sup>.

Central composite design (CCD) can be implemented to estimate a second-degree polynomial model. Depending on my work 3 factors is necessary so nine experiments is conducted on a Vertical Milling Machine shown in figure 1 below. The work pieces used are AA6082 and the size of the work piece is 150\*100\*3 for lap welding and the tool used is H13 tool with THREADED TAPER PIN CYLINDRICAL Profile<sup>[4]</sup>.



Figure 1: Vertical Milling Machine

International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IJERMCE)

Vol 2, Issue 3, March 2017



Figure 2: Threaded Tapered Pin Profile

#### **Experimental details:**

| Machine Tool  | : Vertical Milling Machine |
|---------------|----------------------------|
| Work material | :Aluminum Alloys 6082      |
| Cutting tool  | :H13                       |

#### Table 1: Experimental Details

| S. No | Tool<br>Rotational<br>Speed(N)<br>(rpm) | Welding<br>Speed(S)<br>(mm/min) | Tool Tilt<br>Angle (TA)<br>(Degrees) |
|-------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1     | 710                                     | 31.5                            | 0.5                                  |
| 2     | 710                                     | 40                              | 1                                    |
| 3     | 710                                     | 50                              | 1.5                                  |
| 4     | 900                                     | 31.5                            | 1                                    |
| 5     | 900                                     | 40                              | 1.5                                  |
| 6     | 900                                     | 50                              | 0.5                                  |
| 7     | 1120                                    | 31.5                            | 1.5                                  |
| 8     | 1120                                    | 40                              | 0.5                                  |
| 9     | 1120                                    | 50                              | 1                                    |

Table 2: Specified chemical composition of alloy AA 6082 (wt.%)

| Alloy(6082)      | Si      | Fe  | Cu  | uМ      | gM      | cr 🗧 | Zn  | Τï  | Al  |
|------------------|---------|-----|-----|---------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Composi-<br>tion | 0.7-1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Bal |

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

FSW of lap joint technique is employed on 6082 Aluminum alloys and tested using different parameters Tool Rotation Speed (N), Welding Speed(S), Tool Tilt Angle (TA).

Harmony Search Algorithm (HSA) is employed to optimize the Peak Load, Elongation at Peak Load, Shear strength and Hardness of the AA 6082 material for Friction Stir Welding of lap joint for getting the compromised solution among the responses [13]. Tables are presented for responses using ANOVA calculations and HSA results

#### Statistical Analysis

ANOVA is a particular form of statistically hypothesis testing heavily used in the analysis of experimental data. A statistical hypothesis test is a method of making decisions using data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique is applied to find out the significance of the parameters for validation [8-11]. The percentage of contribution of Tool Rotational Speed (N), Welding Speed (S), and Tool Tilt Angle (TA) on Peak Load, Elongation at Peak Load, Shear strength and hardness were studied and analyzed by using ANOVA technique.

#### Process Parameters (Factors) and their Levels

Below tables shows the process parameters and their design levels. These values are considered for carrying the project work, such as Tool Rotational Speed (N), Welding Speed(S) and Tool Tilt Angle (TA).

Table 3: Process Parameters and their design levels using inFSW of lap joint technique

| Process<br>parameters                   | Range     | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Tool<br>Rotational<br>Speed (N),<br>rpm | 710-1120  | 710     | 006     | 1120    |
| Welding<br>Speed(S),<br>mm/min          | 31.5 - 50 | 31.5    | 40      | 50      |
| Tool Tilt<br>Angle<br>(TA),Degrees      | 0.5-1.5   | 0.5     | 1       | 1.5     |

 Table 4: Experimental Values for Shear Strength
 8

 #Hardness for A 6082
 8

|       | Rotational Speed<br>pm) | ing Speed (S)      | Tilt Angle (TA)     | Load (LP) (KN) | ation at Peak Structure | · Strength (SS)   | ness (H <sub>v</sub> ) |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| S. No | Tool Rc<br>(N) (rpn     | Welding<br>(mm/mii | Tool Ti<br>(Degrees | Peak Lo        | Elongati<br>Load (E     | Shear St<br>(MPa) | Hardnes                |



ISSN (Online) 2456-1290

## International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IJERMCE)

|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | S          | 2            | 5.1345       | 2.5672        | 34.40           |
|-----|------|------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 710  | 31.5 | 0.5 | 07.84 | 2.88 | 42.026 | 63.6 | TA         | 2            | 0.4435       | 0.2217        | 2.97            |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | RES        | 8            | 4.1838       | 2.0919        | 28.05           |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | TOTAL      | 14           | 14.9236      |               | 100             |
| 2   | 710  | 40   | 1   | 13.10 | 3.82 | 64.274 | 64.9 |            |              |              |               |                 |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | Tab        | le 7: ANOV   | A Results fo | or Shear Sti  | rength using    |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      |            | Threaded     | Taper Pin F  | Profile for A | A6082           |
| 3   | 710  | 50   | 1.5 | 10.53 | 2.82 | 49.822 | 63.4 |            | Degrees      | Sum of       | Maan          | Parcentage      |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | Factor     | of           | Sallares     | Squares       | of              |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | ractor     | Freedom      |              | MS            | Contribution    |
| 4   | 900  | 31.5 | 1   | 13.81 | 5 43 | 66 057 | 62   |            | DOF          | 55           | 1110          | Contribution    |
| •   | 200  | 51.5 | 1   | 15.01 | 5.15 | 00.057 | 02   | N          | 2            | 1.958        | 0.979         | 0.195           |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | S          | 2            | 498.075      | 249.037       | 49.83           |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | TA         | 2            | 157.422      | 78.711        | 15.75           |
| 5   | 900  | 40   | 1.5 | 10.95 | 2.91 | 51.765 | 65.6 | RES        | 8            | 342.025      | 171.012       | 34.225          |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | TOTAL      | . 14         | 999.48       |               | 100             |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | Table      | P. ANOVA     | Deguite for  | Uandross u    | sing Threaded   |
| 6   | 900  | 50   | 0.5 | 07.46 | 2.36 | 34.961 | 53.3 | Tuble      | o. ANOVA     | Pin Profila  | for AA608     | 27.             |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      |            | Degrees      |              |               | 2.              |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      |            | of           | Sum of       | Mean          | Percentage      |
| 7   | 1120 | 31.5 | 15  | 11.04 | 5 53 | 51 147 | 68.9 | Factor     | Freedom      | Squares      | Squares       | of              |
| , í | 1120 | 51.5 | 1.0 | 11.01 | 5.55 | 51.11  | 00.7 |            | DOF          | SS           | MS            | Contribution    |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | N          | 2            | 51.109       | 25.554        | 30.86           |
| 0   | 1120 | 10   | 0.5 | 10.00 | 5.00 |        | (7.0 | S          | 2            | 77.362       | 36.681        | 46.72           |
| 8   | 1120 | 40   | 0.5 | 13.90 | 5.92 | 62.677 | 67.9 | TA         | 2            | 30.669       | 15.334        | 18.52           |
|     |      |      |     |       |      |        |      | RES        | 8            | 6.442        | 3.221         | 3.9             |
|     |      |      | 100 |       |      |        |      | TOTAL      | . 14         | 165.582      |               | 100             |
|     |      |      |     | and a |      |        |      |            |              |              |               | •               |
| 9   | 1120 | 50   | 1   | 08.47 | 3.37 | 39.957 | 61.4 |            | From the Ta  | bles shown   | above Wel     | ding Speed (S)  |
|     |      | -    |     |       |      |        |      | the influe | ential param | eter compar  | red to Tool   | Rotational Spee |

Vol 2, Issue 3, March 2017

 Table 5: ANOVA Results for Peak Load using Threaded

 Taper Pin Profile for AA6082

| Factor | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom<br>DOF | Sum of<br>Squares<br>SS | Mean<br>Squares<br>MS | Percentage<br>of<br>Contribution |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Ν      | 2                               | 0.6380                  | 0.3190                | 1.28                             |
| S      | 2                               | 22.055                  | 11.027                | 44.59                            |
| TA     | 2                               | 6.3772                  | 3.1886                | 12.89                            |
| RES    | 8                               | 20.387                  | 10.193                | 41.24                            |
| TOTAL  | 14                              | 49.458                  |                       | 100                              |

 Table 6: ANOVA Results for Elongation at Peak Load

 using Threaded Taper Pin Profile for AA 6082

| Factor | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom<br>DOF | Sum of<br>Squares<br>SS | Mean<br>Squares<br>MS | Percentage<br>of<br>Contribution |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Ν      | 2                               | 5.1619                  | 2.5809                | 34.58                            |

From the Tables shown above Welding Speed (S) is the influential parameter compared to Tool Rotational Speed and Tool Tilt Angle, so by changing the Welding Speed there will be more considerable change in Peak Load, Shear Strength and Hardness values. For Elongation at Peak Load the Tool Rotational Speed is the influential parameter, also the Welding Speedhas considerable impact. It is also observed that the residual factors also plays important role in maximizing responses of Peak Load, Elongation at Peak Load and Shear Strength those factors may be Tool Plunge Depth and Axial Force upon the work piece during welding <sup>[6]</sup>.



Vol 2, Issue 3, March 2017



IFERP

Figure 6: Graph of Hardness

From the graphs shown above for getting max peak load value welding is to be done at the tool rotational speed of 1120 rpm, welding speed of 40 mm/min, and tool tilt angle of  $1^{0}$ . For maximizing the Elongation at Peak Load the welding should done at the Tool Rotational Speed of 1120 rpm, Welding Speed of 31.5 mm/min and Tool Tilt Angle of  $1^{0}$ . For maximum Shear Strength the Welding should be done at the Tool Rotational Speed of 710 rpm, Welding Speed of 40 mm/min and Tool Tilt Angle of  $1^{0}$ .Similarly for getting max Hardness welding should done at 1120 rpm, Welding Speed of 40 mm/min and Tool Tilt Angle of  $1.5^{0}$ .

#### Harmony Search Algorithm

Harmony Search (HS) is a relatively new metaheuristic optimization algorithm that imitates the improvisation process of Jazz musicians<sup>[12]</sup>. Each musician is analogous to each decision variable: the audience's aesthetics are analogous to the objective function: the pitch range of a musical instrument corresponds to the value range of the decision variables; the musicians' improvisations correspond to local and global search schemes; musical harmony at certain time to a solution vector at certain iteration<sup>[15]</sup>.

For Harmony search Algorithm, the input parameters (N, S, TA) are decision variables and the lower and upper limits are provided for each decision variable in the algorithm. Based on the objective function value obtained by random selection of variable values the maximum value for objective function is finalized, the corresponding values of decision variables are best parameters <sup>[12-15]</sup>.

From Harmony Search Algorithm a compromised solution for the given objective function is obtained for machining variables of Tool rotational speed, Welding Speed and Tool tilt angle. The Harmony Search Algorithm is processed to find the best result for the objective using Regression equations and its boundaries and the optimum value of compromised solution of all responses is obtained at Tool rotational speed 1120 rpm, Welding peed 31.5 mm/min and Tool Tilt Angle 1.5<sup>0</sup> which are most significant and applicable value amongst all other experimental values.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, the Taguchi method was used to obtain optimal condition for friction stir welding of AA 6082 aluminum alloy. Experimental results were evaluated using ANOVA and Harmony Search algorithm. The results can be drawn as follows:

- 1. Welding speed is the major factor affecting the peak load, shear strength and hardness.
- 2. Tool rotation speed and welding speed are the most influencing parameter to get good elongation at peak



### Vol 2, Issue 3, March 2017

load.

- 3. Tool tilt angle has the minimum effect on all responses.
- 4. Tool rotation speed of 1120 rpm welding speed of 31.5 mm/min and 1.5 degree tilt angle is the optimum machining condition to get a compromised solution of all responses.

#### REFERENCES

- L. Dubourg; A. Merati;M.Jahazi; Process optimization and mechanical properties of friction stir lap welds of 7075-T6 stringers on 2024-T3 skin. Materials and Design 31 (2010).
- [2]. L. CEDERQVIST and A. P. REYNOLDS "Factors Affecting the Properties of Friction Stir Welded Aluminum Lap Joints" REASEARCH/DEVELOPMENT.
- [3]. Mahesh Teli<sup>1</sup>, Yagnesh B Chauhan<sup>2</sup>-"Parametric Optimization of Friction Stir Lap Welding of AA2024-AA7075 Using Taguchi Method". IJTRE May-2016.
- [4]. S. Babu<sup>1</sup>, G.D. Janaki Ram<sup>1</sup>, P.V Venkitakrishnan<sup>2</sup>, G. Madhusudhan Reddy<sup>3</sup> and K. Prasad Rao<sup>1</sup> – "Microstructural and Mechanical Properties of Friction Stir Lap Welded Aluminium Alloy AA2014". ScienceDirect J. Master. Sci. Technol., 2012, 28(5), 414-426.
- [5]. H. Bisadi<sup>1</sup>, M. Tour<sup>1,\*</sup>, A. Tavakoli<sup>2</sup> –"The Influence of Process Parameters on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Friction Stir Welded Al 5083 Alloy Lap Joint.
- [6]. Jun-Won KWON<sup>1</sup>, Myoung-Soo KANG<sup>1</sup>, Sung-Ook YOON<sup>1</sup>, Yong-Jai KWON<sup>1</sup>, Sung-Tae HONG<sup>2</sup>, Dae-I1 KIM<sup>1</sup>, etc.. –"Influence of tool plunge depth and welding distance on friction stir lap welding of AA5454-O aluminium alloy plates with different thicknesses", ScienceDirect, Tras. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China 22(2012) s624-s628.
- [7]. Z.W. Chen<sup>\*</sup>, S. Yazdanian "Friction Stir Lap Welding: material flow, Joint structure and strength", Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering, volume 55, issue 2, December 2012.
- [8]. HemaPothur, Prabhu.K.A. and Ravindranath .K(2012) "Optimization of Process Parameters for Friction Stir Welding of Aluminium Alloy 6061 using ANOVA", International Journal of Mechanical and production Engineering Research and Development(IJMPERD),ISSN:2249-6890, Vol.1,Issue 1(2012) pp.(36-42).
- [9]. Kapil Tamrakar<sup>1</sup>, Aquinash Minj<sup>2</sup>, Abhinav sharma<sup>3</sup> and Harsh pandey<sup>4</sup> – "Experimental Investigation of

Machining parameters on milling by using full factorial design", International Research journal of Engineering and Technology (IJRET), volume:2 ,issue:04, 1 july-2015.

- [10]. J.A Ghani, I.A Choudary, H.H Hassan "Application of Taguchi method in the Optimization of end milling parameters" ELSEVIER, Journal of Material processing Technology, volume 145, issue 1, 1 January 2004.
- [11]. J.P Davim, Pedro Reis –"Study of delamination in drilling carbon fiber reinforced plastics (CFRP) using design experiments. ELSEVIER, composite structures, volume 59, issue 4, March 2003.
- [12]. Z. W. Geem, J. H. Kim, and G. V. Loganathan, "A new heuristic optimization algorithm: harmony search", Simulation, volume 76, issue 2, pp. 60-68, 2001.
- [13]. M. Mahdavi<sup>a</sup>, M. Fesanghary<sup>6,\*</sup>, E. Damangir<sup>b</sup> –"An improved harmony search algorithm for solving optimization problems", ScienceDirect, Applied Mathematics and Computation 188 (2007) 1567-1579.
- [14]. Chia-Ming Wang, Yin-Fu Huang –"Self-adaptive harmony search algorithm for optimization", ResearchGate, Expert Systems with Applications, April 2010, DOI:10.1016/j.eswa.2009.09.008, source:DBLP.
- [15]. KaipingLuo " A Novel Self Adaptive Harmony Search Algorithm", Research Article, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 2013.