

Temporal Forest Cover Analysis of Udupi District In Karnataka Using Remote Sensing and GIS

^[1] Rinnet Francis ^[2] Pruthviraj U ^[3] Amba Shetty
^{[1][2]} NITK Surathkal, Karnataka, India
^[1] rinnetfrancis@gmail.com

Abstract: Forests all over the globe are subjected to enormous pressure resulting in deforestation and degradation due to the anthropogenic activities like urbanization and increase in cultivation. Measuring land-cover change is an essential part of sustainable conservation planning. Hence monitoring change in forest cover has become an important tool for forest management. Remote sensing offers a quick and low cost way for forest cover mapping to monitor deforestation. The objective of the study is to analyze spatial and temporal forest cover changes in Udupi district of Karnataka to understand the deforestation pattern from 1973 to 2016. The study applied supervised classification – Maximum Likelihood Algorithm in Erdas Imagine software using satellite data obtained from Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS), Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper(TM), Landsat 7 ETM+, IRS LISS III and Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager(OLI) for the years 1973, 1981, 1991, 2003, 2012 and 2016 respectively. The area was classified into 11 land use/land cover classes viz. agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, barren land, built up land, dense forest, fallow land, grass land, other vegetation, rubber plantation, sand soil and water body. The overall accuracies obtained are 79.37%, 81.04%, 82.53%, 84.38%, 85.76% and 85.76% for the years 1973, 1981, 1991, 2003, 2012 and 2016 respectively. Land use/land cover maps generated through ArcGIS indicated a significant shift from forest cover to agricultural land, plantations and urban settlement areas. The study demonstrated that monitoring of long term forest changes provides critical inputs for management of forest resources.

Key words: Erdas; Forest Cover; Image Classification; LULC; Satellite Imagery

I. INTRODUCTION

Forest is a complex ecological system in which trees are dominant life forms. Besides providing habitats for animals and livelihood for humans, forest also play a major role in greenhouse gas regulation, water supplies and regulation, soil conservation, nutrient cycling, genetic and species diversity. Forests play an important role in the socio-economic scenario of any state. This role is all the more pronounced in a state like Karnataka, which is a predominantly agriculture-based rural economy.

Udupi district is known for its species diversity as the region falls in foot of Western Ghats. Rapid urbanization and agricultural expansion has become a threat to forest areas [4]. South western stretch of Western Ghats has experienced a forest decline of 25.5% in the year 1973-1995 [3]. Studies shows that forest degradation has been taking place in Udupi due to the urbanization and industrial expansion [5]. Conventional ground methods of land use mapping are labor intensive, time consuming and are done relatively infrequently. Studies have shown that use of time series remote sensing data offers a quick and low cost way for analyzing forest cover change [1]. Various supervised and unsupervised change detection techniques are available for land use/land cover change analysis. Among that supervised method provides more classification accuracy than

unsupervised classification methods [6]. Among the supervised classification algorithms, maximum likelihood algorithm gives better accuracy compared to minimum distance to means and parallelepiped classification algorithms [7].

II. STUDY AREA

Udupi has a total geographical extend of 3571 sq.km which is about 1.86 % of total geographical area of Karnataka State. It lies between latitude 13°12' and 14° N and longitude of 74° and 75°15' E. Udupi is bounded by the Western Ghats on the east and Arabian Sea on the west. With the heavy rains of south west monsoon and the continuation of tropical rainforests of Western Ghats the Udupi district is environmentally important and ecologically sensitive. The area receives an average annual rainfall of 4360 mm. The area is having a coastline of more than 100km and is one of the three coastal districts of the state. The area has a total population of 1177361 as per Census 2011. Figure 1 shows the study area.

**International Journal of Engineering Research in Electronics and Communication
Engineering (IJERCE)
Vol 4, Issue 5, May 2017**




Figure 1 : Study Area

III. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

A. Data Processing and Image Classification

The study was carried out using temporal satellite images of Landsat MSS (February 1973 and February 1981), Landsat TM (February 1991), Landsat ETM+ (March 2003), IRS LISS III (February 2016) and Landsat 8 OLI (February 2016). The topographic map of 1977 (scale 1:50000) is obtained from Survey of India and used to generate land use maps for 1973 and 1981. All the landsat images used in this study were downloaded from USGS earth explorer. The satellite images are radiometrically corrected using ERDAS Imagine. The False Color Composite of the area is created in order to differentiate the different features on the image based on their reflectance in each band. The chosen study area is extracted from the False Color Composite image. Supervised classification using maximum likelihood algorithm was adopted to categorize the pixels in an image into land cover classes or themes. More than 100 training samples are taken for each land cover type. These training areas are taken on the basis of high resolution images from Google Earth. Based on the visual interpretation of the area, the area is classified into 11 land use/land cover classes viz. agricultural land (AL), arecanut and coconut plantation (ACP), barren land (BR), built up land (BU), dense forest (DF), fallow land (FL), grass land (GL), other vegetation (OV), rubber plantation (RP), sand soil (SS) and water body (WB). The Maximum likelihood algorithm is used for the classification. The topographic map of 1977 was scanned and rectified using geometrically corrected image with the Erdas Imagine software. The topographic map is then compared with the classified image for the year 1973 and 1981 and the classified image is recoded which increases the

accuracy. After the classification the accuracy was assessed by taking 256 samples using random sampling method.

B. Accuracy Assessment

Accuracy Assessment is a vital part to analyze the classified image result. It compares the classified image to another data source that is considered to be accurate or ground truth data. In this study ground truth data is derived using the high resolution images from the Google earth. Error matrix is created to assess the accuracy of classification. In an error matrix, the classification results are compared using information from reference site to information on a map for a number of sample areas. The Kappa statistic represents the agreement between the classified forestland cover and the observed forest.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Forest Cover change of Udupi District

The spatial distribution of forest cover in 1973 is shown in Table 1. Forests occupied 1090.5 km², which is about 30.53% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation are 299.7 km², 321.6 km², 20.4 km² and 40.3 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 8.39%, 9%, 0.57% and 1.12% respectively. The spatial distribution of forest cover in 1981 is shown in Table 1. Forests occupied 1038.3 km², which is about 29.07% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation are 337.7 km², 358.7 km², 20.4 km² and 43.3 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 9.45%, 10.04%, 0.57% and 1.21% respectively.

Table 1: Land Covers Distribution In % With Respect To Geographical Area

| Class | Area in km ² | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1973 | 1981 | 1991 | 2003 | 2012 | 2016 |
| AL | 299.7 | 337.7 | 609.4 | 826.1 | 844.5 | 836.0 |
| ACP | 321.6 | 358.7 | 429.5 | 680.7 | 721.6 | 702.4 |
| BR | 188.1 | 183.3 | 67.5 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 114.1 |
| BU | 40.3 | 43.3 | 49.0 | 68.4 | 107.4 | 118.0 |
| DF | 1090.5 | 1038.3 | 977.6 | 827.9 | 708.8 | 704.3 |
| FL | 166.4 | 177.0 | 315.4 | 328.3 | 268.7 | 169.1 |
| GL | 19.4 | 19.4 | 29.4 | 18.0 | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| OV | 1326.7 | 1294.9 | 995.4 | 625.6 | 676.6 | 771.2 |
| RP | 20.4 | 20.4 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 56.3 | 62.7 |
| SS | 15.4 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 16.9 | 11.3 | 14.4 |
| WB | 83.0 | 83.0 | 60.9 | 57.9 | 63.7 | 63.0 |




Figure 2: Classified map of Udipi District for the year 1973




Figure 4: Classified map of Udipi District for the year 1991




Figure 3: Classified map of Udipi District for the year 1981




Figure 5: Classified map of Udipi District for the year 2003

The classified map of the area for 1973, 1981 and 1991 is shown in figure 2, figure 3 and figure 4 respectively. For the year 1991, forests occupied 977.6 km², which is about 27.37% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation for 1991 are 609.4km², 429.5 km², 21.2 km² and 49 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 17.06%, 12.02%, 0.59% and 1.37% respectively. The classified map of area for 2003 is shown in Figure 5. Forests occupied 827.9 km², which is about 23.18% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation are 826.1 km², 680.7 km², 23.5 km² and 68.4 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 23.13%, 19.06%, 0.65% and 1.91% respectively.

The classified map of area for 2012 is shown in Figure 6. Forests occupied 708.8 km², which is about 19.84% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation are 844.5km², 721.6 km², 56.3 km² and 107.4 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 23.64%, 20.20%, 1.57% and 3% respectively. The classified map of area for the year 2016 is shown in figure 7. Forests occupied 704.3 km², which is about 19.72% of the district. The area under agricultural land, arecanut and coconut plantation, rubber plantation and human habitation are

**International Journal of Engineering Research in Electronics and Communication
Engineering (IJERECE)
Vol 4, Issue 5, May 2017**

836km², 702.4 km², 62.7 km² and 118 km² respectively. i.e, these categories occupied about 23.41%, 19.67%, 1.75% and 3.3% respectively.




Figure 6 : Classified map of Udipi district for the year 2012




Figure 7 : Classified map of Udipi district for the year 2012

Bar chart showing the land use land covers variation of Udupi district for the years 1973, 1981, 1991, 2003, 2012 and 2016 is shown in Figure 9.




Figure 8 : Bar chart showing land use land cover variation

B. Accuracy Assessment

Accuracy assessment for the year using maximum likelihood classification is shown in Table 2. The overall accuracy obtained is 79.37 with kappa statistics of 0.7730 for the year 1973.

Table 2: Accuracy Assessment For The Year 1973

| Classes | Producer's Accuracy (%) | User's Accuracy (%) | kappa |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| GL | 83.40% | 78.52 | 0.765 |
| AL | 70.61% | 72.27 | 0.6942 |
| FL | 78.14 | 85.16 | 0.8352 |
| SS | 87.5 | 84.77 | 0.8329 |
| RP | 95.65 | 77.34 | 0.7555 |
| BU | 86.81 | 79.69 | 0.7784 |
| BL | 77.89 | 92.19 | 0.9125 |
| WB | 97.13 | 92.58 | 0.9187 |
| DF | 82.31 | 83.59 | 0.8192 |
| OV | 74.82 | 80.08 | 0.7793 |
| ACP | 79.47 | 81.64 | 0.7975 |

Producers' accuracy is calculated as the number of pixels correctly classified in a particular category as a percentage of total number of pixels actually belonging to that category. Users' accuracy tells whether a pixel classified into a given category actually represents that category on ground. The values of kappa greater than 0.80(80%) represents strong agreement; a value between 0.40 and 0.80(40% and 80%) represents moderate agreement; and a value below 0.40(40%) represents poor agreement. In the present study for the year 1973 which shows moderate agreement.

**International Journal of Engineering Research in Electronics and Communication
Engineering (IJERECE)
Vol 4, Issue 5, May 2017**

obtained is 82.53 with kappa statistics of 0.8078 for the year 1991 which shows strong agreement.

Table 3: Accuracy Assessment Report For The Year 1981

| Class | Producer's Accuracy(%) | User's Accuracy(%) | kappa |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| WB | 85.87 | 94.92 | 0.9435 |
| ACP | 78.72 | 86.72 | 0.8524 |
| FL | 82.75 | 82.42 | 0.8067 |
| BL | 75.09 | 81.25 | 0.792 |
| OV | 80.43 | 88.28 | 0.8698 |
| SS | 75.72 | 81.64 | 0.7965 |
| BU | 87.02 | 89.06 | 0.8794 |
| RP | 82.86 | 67.97 | 0.6539 |
| GL | 80.41 | 76.95 | 0.7476 |
| AL | 78.66 | 73.44 | 0.7097 |
| DF | 86.27 | 68.75 | 0.6631 |

Table 4: Accuracy Report For The Year 1991

| Classes | Producer's Accuracy (%) | User's Accuracy (%) | kappa |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| GL | 83.40% | 78.52 | 0.765 |
| AL | 70.61% | 72.27 | 0.6942 |
| FL | 78.14 | 85.16 | 0.8352 |
| SS | 87.5 | 84.77 | 0.8329 |
| RP | 95.65 | 77.34 | 0.7555 |
| BU | 86.81 | 79.69 | 0.7784 |
| BL | 77.89 | 92.19 | 0.9125 |
| WB | 97.13 | 92.58 | 0.9187 |
| DF | 82.31 | 83.59 | 0.8192 |
| OV | 74.82 | 80.08 | 0.7793 |
| ACP | 79.47 | 81.64 | 0.7975 |

The accuracy report for the year 1981 and 1991 are shown in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively. The overall accuracy obtained is 81.04 with kappa statistics of 0.79 for the year 1981 which shows strong agreement. The overall accuracy

Table 5: Accuracy Assessment For The Year 2003

| Classes | Producer's Accuracy (%) | User's Accuracy (%) | kappa |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| GL | 85.77% | 84.77 | 0.8326 |
| AL | 69.32% | 71.48 | 0.6853 |
| FL | 81.2 | 84.38 | 0.8275 |
| SS | 91.67 | 85.94 | 0.8463 |
| RP | 96.6 | 77.73 | 0.7598 |
| BU | 90.32 | 87.5 | 0.8629 |
| BL | 88.39 | 92.19 | 0.9137 |
| WB | 100 | 94.92 | 0.9444 |
| DF | 78.95 | 93.75 | 0.9299 |
| OV | 73.36 | 82.81 | 0.8085 |
| ACP | 79.15 | 72.66 | 0.7017 |

Table 6: Accuracy Report For The Year 2012

| Classes | Producer's Accuracy (%) | User's Accuracy (%) | kappa |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| GL | 90.21% | 82.81 | 0.8125 |
| AL | 74.50% | 73.05 | 0.7041 |
| FL | 80.8 | 87.11 | 0.8571 |
| SS | 90.95 | 86.33 | 0.8504 |
| RP | 96.65 | 78.91 | 0.7722 |
| BU | 87.16 | 87.5 | 0.8624 |
| BL | 86.45 | 92.91 | 0.9135 |
| WB | 100 | 95.7 | 0.9529 |
| DF | 81.1 | 92.91 | 0.9129 |
| OV | 76.04 | 85.55 | 0.839 |
| ACP | 84.68 | 82.03 | 0.803 |

The accuracy report for the year 2003 and 2012 are shown in Table 5 and Table 6 respectively. The overall accuracy obtained is 84.38 with kappa statistics of 0.828 for the year 2003 which shows strong agreement. The overall accuracy obtained is 85.76 with kappa statistics of 0.8434 for the year 2012 which shows strong agreement.

**International Journal of Engineering Research in Electronics and Communication
Engineering (IJERECE)
Vol 4, Issue 5, May 2017**

Table 7: Accuracy Report For The Year 2016

| Classes | Producer's Accuracy (%) | User's Accuracy (%) | kappa |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| GL | 87.7 | 86.33 | 0.8498 |
| AL | 72.79 | 80.47 | 0.7829 |
| FL | 81.82 | 87.89 | 0.8658 |
| SS | 90.08 | 85.16 | 0.8376 |
| RP | 96.94 | 86.72 | 0.8554 |
| BU | 89.71 | 85.16 | 0.8375 |
| BL | 87.74 | 89.45 | 0.8838 |
| WB | 100 | 96.48 | 0.9615 |
| DF | 83.39 | 96.09 | 0.9564 |
| OV | 79.7 | 82.81 | 0.8102 |
| ACP | 80.18 | 69.53 | 0.6692 |

The accuracy report for the year 2016 is shown in Table 7. The overall accuracy obtained is 86.01 with kappa statistics of 0.84 which shows strong agreement.

V. CONCLUSIONS

From the study it can be concluded that remotely sensed data can effectively be used for forest cover mapping. Since 1973 to 1991 the rate of forest cover loss is 10.3 % in the study area and it is 38% from the year 1991 to 2016. This indicates that the degradation of the forest was more in the recent years compared to that of earlier. It is also concluded that forest has been replaced by agricultural land, built up land and other plantations. Hence monitoring of long term forest changes is essential for efficient forest management.

REFERENCES

[1] Amarnath Giriraj, Mohammed Irfan-Ullah, Manchi Sri Ramachandra Murthy and Carl Beierkuhnlein, "Modelling Spatial and Temporal Forest Cover Change Patterns (1973-2020): A Case Study from South Western Ghats (India)", *Sensors* 2008.

[2] Andrew J. Hansen and Ruth Defries, "Ecological mechanisms linking protected areas to surrounding lands", *Ecological Applications*, vol.17, no. 4, 2007.

[3] C. S. Jha , C. B. S. Dutt and K. S. Bawa, " Deforestation and land use changes in Western Ghats, India" *CURRENT SCIENCE*, vol. 79, no. 2, 2000.

[4] Hemanjali, A.M., Pramod Kumar, G.R., Somashekar, R.K. and Nagaraja, B. C, "Assessment of forest encroachment in Shimoga district of Western Ghats, India, using remote sensing and GIS", *International Journal of Advanced Technology & Engineering Research (IJATER)*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2013.

[5] K. Silambarasan, M. S. Vinaya and S. Suresh Babu , "Urban Sprawl Mapping and Land use Change Detection in and around Udupi Town: A Remote Sensing based Approach", *International Journal of of forest encroachment in Shimoga district of Western Ghats, India, using remote sensing and GIS*", *International Journal of Advanced Technology & Engineering Research (IJATER)*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2013.

[6] M. Christy Rama, D. S. Mahendran, T. C. Raja Kumar, "A Survey on Land Cover Change Detection Techniques in Remote Sensing", *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 7, 2016.

[7] Madhura M and Suganthi Venkatachalam, "Comparison of Supervised Classification Methods On Remote Sensed Satellite Data: An Application In Chennai, South India", *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2013

[8] Maryna Rymasheuskaya, "Land cover change detection in northern Belarus", *Proceedings, ScanGIS*, 2007.

[9] Sanchayeeta Adhikaria, Jane Southworth and Harini Nagendra, "Understanding forest loss and recovery: a spatiotemporal analysis of land change in and around Bannerghatta National Park, India", *Journal of Land Use Science*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 402–424, 2014

[10] Usha, Dr. Thukaram M, Dr. Mohandas Chadaga, Dr. Naveenchandra B, "An integrated approach of Satellite Remote Sensing technology and Geographical Information system for the land use land cover change detection studies for urban planning of Mangalore Taluk of Karnataka state, India", *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* , vol. 4, no. 5, 2014.