

Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023

Explainable AI in Carbon Trading

^[1] Mariam Fazal Abbasi, ^[2] Kaynat Riasat Ali, ^[3] Talha Rehman Abbasi

^{[1][2]} Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Sahiwal Campus, Pakistan
^[3] Department of Computer Science, University of Sahiwal, Pakistan
^[1] mariamfa0348@gmail.com,^[2] kaynatriasat001@gmail.com, ^[3] talhaabbasi730@gmail.com

Abstract— In the growing field of carbon trading the integration of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) offers a crucial approach, to improving transparency and accountability in decision making processes. This study embarks on a journey to investigate the merging of XAI with carbon trading. It emphasizes the lack of examples and explores hypothetical case studies to shed light on methods and outcomes. Carbon trading plays a role in efforts to combat climate change by allowing permits for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions. To ensure effectiveness and fairness it requires transparent decision-making frameworks. XAI, known for its ability to make AI models decision making processes interpretable for users presents a solution to address the complexities and opacities within carbon trading mechanisms. Through speculative case studies and proposed approaches this study aims to uncover paths, challenges and implications of integrating XAI into carbon trading. It lays a foundation for research, in this domain by exploring this innovative intersection that brings together technology and environmental sustainability seamlessly. Ultimately it strives for a future where carbon trading operates transparently equitably and effectively.

Index Terms—AI, Carbon Trading, Explainable Artificial Intelligence, LIME, SHAP, XAI

I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon trading plays a role in the effort to combat climate change. The concept, behind it is to provide incentives, for countries or companies that take steps to lower their emissions of pollutants. It functions as a system where they can earn carbon credits by surpassing emission reduction targets. These credits can be exchanged with entities to financially manage and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Conversely Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has become an area, in the field of intelligence. It aims to develop AI models that're transparent and produce interpretable results. XAI intends to demystify the nature of AI algorithms offering insights, into how decisions are made and ensuring that the models are accountable, fair, and unbiased.

The combination of XAI and carbon trading is a promising field that has the potential to bring about solutions to improve the transparency, fairness, and effectiveness of carbon trading systems. Carbon trading aims to use market influences to encourage practices, in industries. By incorporating XAI we can potentially enhance its impact by ensuring that the decision-making processes, within these trading systems are transparent, comprehensible, and defensible.

Although carbon trading has the potential, for impact it often faces complexities and challenges that can impede its effectiveness and transparency. The decision-making processes involved in carbon trading are intricate involving stakeholders, compliance with regulations and market dynamics. It is crucial to prioritize transparency and accountability in these processes to preserve the integrity and efficiency of the carbon trading system. Additionally, a lack of understanding and transparency in decision making can breed skepticism and resistance, among stakeholders potentially undermining the credibility and acceptance of the system.

XAI, with its focus on developing AI models that're transparent and can be easily understood offers an answer, to these difficulties. It has the potential to offer stakeholders information, about how decisions made ensuring that the choices made by AI models, in the carbon trading system are transparent, responsible, and unbiased. However, incorporating XAI into carbon trading is an idea that requires a speculative investigation to understand its possibilities, obstacles, and consequences.

The primary focus of this paper is to examine the incorporation of intelligence (AI) explainable AI (XAI), into carbon trading. The objective is to explore approaches, challenges and potential implications that may arise from this integration. By utilizing hypothetical case studies and logical analysis the paper aims to reveal how XAI can enhance the transparency, fairness, and efficiency of carbon trading mechanisms. Its goal is to offer insights that can guide research in this area by exploring how the convergence of technology and environmental sustainability can foster a transparent and effective carbon trading environment.

In this exploration we will focus on the integration of XAI (Explainable Artificial Intelligence), into carbon trading. Since this concept is relatively new and lacks existing research or implementations in this field our discussion will revolve around approaches to integration. We will explore hypothetical case studies to illustrate outcomes and challenges that may arise. However, it's important to note that we won't delve into the aspects of developing XAI models or the detailed regulatory frameworks of carbon trading. Instead, our goal is to provide an overview and offer insights, into the potential benefits of integrating XAI into carbon trading.



Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023

II. XAI AND ITS RELEVANCE

Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) is a concept, within the field of intelligence that focuses on creating and using AI models in a way that allows users to understand how they make decisions. The main idea behind XAI is to make AI algorithms more transparent, comprehensible, and accountable. It aims to bridge the gap, between the complexity of AI models and the need for human users to comprehend, trust and effectively manage these models. This ensures that the operations and decisions made by AI are not accurate but interpretable and justifiable.

The importance of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) is diverse, in fields where decision making has effects on the economy, environment and society. The need, for XAI arises from the requirement to:

- **Building Trust** XAI plays a role, in building trust among stakeholders by offering insights, into the decision-making process thus preventing AI models from being seen as opaque or mysterious.
- **Promoting Accountability**: Having decision making processes is crucial as it promotes accountability and provides a means to identify, address and rectify any errors or biases that may arise.
- Equity and Fairness: XAI or explainable artificial intelligence plays a role, in ensuring that decisions are made fairly and without any biases. It promotes equity by providing transparency and understanding behind the reasoning of these decisions.
- Enable efficient Management: To effectively manage and improve AI models it is crucial to understand how they make decisions. This understanding helps ensure that these models are, in line, with goals and ethical principles.

XAI has made its way into fields, including healthcare, where it helps doctors understand prognostic models better and finance, where it offers valuable insights, into investment strategies and risk assessment algorithms. In healthcare for example XAI enables doctors to gain insights into how an AI model arrives at a diagnosis. This allows them to verify and trust the decision made by the AI system. Similarly in finance traders and analysts rely on XAI to comprehend how AI models analyze trends and make investment decisions. This helps them effectively manage and mitigate risks, in their endeavors.



Figure 1 Carbon Trading

Figure 1 Carbon Trading: A visual representation that demonstrates the flow of XAI highlighting how input data goes through the decision-making process of an AI model resulting in an output that can be easily understood.

While XAI offers benefits it also comes with its share of challenges. One major concern is finding the balance, between model accuracy and interpretability. Sometimes simpler models that are easier to understand may sacrifice accuracy. Another hurdle is the complexity and resource requirements of XAI models in real time scenarios. Additionally creating XAI models that offer understandable explanations to different stakeholders, with varying levels of expertise and information needs is a complex task.

III. CARBON TRADING OVERVIEW

Carbon trading often referred to as "cap and trade" systems is an approach, to managing and decreasing greenhouse gas emissions. It involves a market-based strategy where governments set limits on emissions and then distribute or sell allowances to organizations allowing them to emit an amount of greenhouse gases. The main goals of carbon trading include encouraging industries to adopt technologies reducing carbon emissions and ensuring that entities comply with emission limits to contribute towards global efforts, in combating climate change.

A. Carbon Trading Mechanism

The concept, behind carbon trading is based on the idea of offering incentives to encourage organizations to decrease their greenhouse gas emissions. When organizations emit less than their allowances, they have the option to sell their allowances or carbon credits to those who exceed their emission limits. This creates a motivation for organizations to reduce their emissions. The system promotes the exploration and implementation of more efficient technologies and practices aiming to minimize the carbon footprint. In the carbon market prices of carbon credits are influenced by the availability of allowances and demand, among entities following supply and demand dynamics.



Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023

Despite the thought-out design carbon trading encounters challenges. The effectiveness and trustworthiness of carbon trading systems often face problems related to adhering to regulations verifying emission reductions and managing market dynamics. Additionally, it is a challenge to ensure that the system is fair and does not unfairly impact industries or communities. The complexities involved in establishing, monitoring, and regulating carbon markets become more intricate when considering the context, with different countries having diverse regulations and capacities. This further adds to the complexity of implementing and managing carbon trading systems.



Figure 2 Carbon Trading Mechanism

Figure 1 Carbon Trading: *The carbon trading process can be visually depicted as a diagram showing how emission allowances are allocated and traded among entities. It also highlights the role of bodies in regulating this process.*

B. Significance of Transparency and Accountability

The significance of transparency and accountability cannot be overstated when it comes to maintaining the integrity and efficiency of carbon trading systems. Transparent mechanisms guarantee that entities are well informed about the allocation and trading of allowances, as the verification process for emission reductions. Additionally, they enable bodies to oversee and manage the system thereby preventing any potential manipulations or inaccuracies in emission reporting. On the hand accountability ensures that entities are held responsible for adhering to their emission limits while any instances of non-compliance are appropriately addressed through actions such as fines or reductions, in future allowances.

IV. THE POTENTIAL INCORPORATION OF EXPLAINABLE AI IN CARBON TRADING

The incorporation of XAI, into carbon trading has the potential to completely transform the way entities, regulators and the market interact with and comprehend the algorithms that drive decision making processes in carbon management. One possible approach could involve utilizing XAI to demystify the algorithms used for predicting market trends in carbon trading. This would offer stakeholders insights into how data analyzed, and predictions are made. Another approach could involve employing XAI to shed light on the decision-making processes, behind the allocation and trading of emission allowances. This would ensure that entities grasp how their actions and characteristics affect these decisions. Moreover, XAI could be utilized to enhance transparency and credibility in the process of verifying emission reductions by providing explanations of how AI models validate reported reductions.

A. Approaches of XAI in Carbon Trading:

• LIME

LIME is a technique created to clarify the predictions made by any machine learning classifier in a way that's not dependent on the model. It produces explanations that're faithful to the context meaning it interprets predictions that are like the instance being analyzed. LIME achieves this by adjusting the instance being explained and creating a model that approximates the original models' predictions. It selects a subset of features. Presents a model that is easier to understand while still accurately representing the original models' predictions, within the local area.

Case Study Price Prediction:

Imagine a situation where an AI model makes predictions, about the price of carbon credits based on factors like prices emission reports and economic indicators. Let's say there's a trading entity called Entity X that receives a prediction indicating an increase in the price of carbon credits in the coming months. To explain this prediction LIME can be used to create a model that approximates how the original model made this specific prediction. As an example, it might point out that recent spikes in emission reports and specific economic indicators have heavily influenced this prediction giving Entity X insights, into why it was made.



Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023



Figure 3 Price Prediction Using LIME

In *Figure 3 Price Prediction Using LIME* A workflow can be created to showcase how LIME can help trading entities understand and verify the predictions made by AI models regarding carbon credit prices. This will ensure that the insights provided are interpretable and accessible.

• SHAPLEY

SHAP values provide a measure of the significance of each feature drawing inspiration from game theory. They assign an importance value to each feature for a prediction ensuring that all feature contributions are distributed fairly among them. These values are derived by considering all combinations of features and assessing the impact of each feature, on the prediction. This approach guarantees that the contributions align, with Shapley values, which originate from game theory.

Case Study Emission Allowance Allocation using SHAPLEY:

Imagine a situation where a regulatory organization uses an AI system to distribute emission allowances, among entities. One of those entities Entity Y receives several allowances. Wants to understand the reasons behind this allocation. To explain the allocation process, we can employ SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) to assign SHAP values to factors, such as Entity Y's emissions, industry standards and efforts towards emission reduction. These SHAP values will provide insights, into how each factor influenced the allocation decision.



Here is a workflow in *Figure 4 Emission Allowance* that demonstrates how SHAP can be employed to offer insights, into the decisions made by AI models regarding emission allowance allocation. This ensures that entities can understand and validate these decisions effectively.

Counterfactual Explanations

Counterfactual explanations offer insights, into the predictions made by machine learning models. These explanations involve presenting scenarios, called counterfactuals, in which the predicted outcome would be different. They aim to address questions like; "What modifications should be made to an instance, for the model to predict a result?" By identifying and modifying input features of an instance counterfactual explanations provide users with a scenario that enables them to comprehend how varying feature values could impact the prediction.

Case Study Credit Request Approval

Consider a scenario where Entity Z is denied a request for additional carbon credits by an AI model. To understand why, counterfactual explanations can be used to identify alterations in the input features (such as a reduction in historical emissions or an increase in offset projects) that would have resulted in approval, thereby providing Entity Z with actionable insights into how they might improve their chances of approval in the future.



Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023





In *Figure 5 Credit Request Approval* a visual representation of how counterfactual explanations can offer insights, into the decisions made by AI models regarding carbon credit requests. This helps guide organizations on how they can modify their profiles to achieve outcomes.

• Visual Explanations

Visual explanations make use of aids like heatmaps or saliency maps to emphasize the areas, in the input data that have the impact on a model's prediction. This creates a guide that helps us understand how the model makes its decisions. It produces representations that highlight the parts of the input data that play a crucial role in determining the model's prediction. As a result, it offers a to understand and accessible method, for interpreting the decisions made by the model.

Case Study: Carbon Credit Price

Consider a situation where an AI model makes predictions, about the prices of carbon credits using data like satellite images of zones. To help stakeholders grasp the factors that impact carbon credit pricing visual explanations can be used. These explanations can highlight areas in the images that have influence on price predictions, such as regions, with intense industrial activity. This visual guide offers stakeholders an understanding of what factors drive carbon credit pricing.





A *Figure 6 Price Prediction in Visual Explanations* diagram that demonstrates how visual explanations can offer a comprehension of AI model predictions concerning carbon credit pricing allowing stakeholders to visually recognize the factors that have an impact.

V. CHALLENGES

The incorporation of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), into carbon trading shows potential. It also comes with its fair share of obstacles. These challenges encompass a range of areas including ethical and practical aspects. Each domain presents its set of complexities and factors that need to be considered.

A. Technical Challenges:

• Model Complexity and Interpretability:

Finding the equilibrium, between creating AI models that can effectively forecast and navigate the intricacies of carbon trading while also ensuring that these models can be understood and explained using XAI techniques.

• Data Quality vs availability:

Ensuring that AI models are trained with pertinent and all-inclusive data while following regulations and standards related to data privacy and security.

Scalability:

Creating AI (XAI) models that can effectively handle the changing dynamics of carbon markets guaranteeing relevant and timely explanations.



Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023

B. Implementation Challenges:

- **Collaboration:** Bringing together AI experts, environmental scientists, economists, and policymakers to ensure the integration of XAI, into carbon trading. By taking an approach and considering perspectives we aim to foster meaningful collaborations.
- **Cost and Resource**: Implementing intelligence (XAI) in the field of carbon trading requires considerable financial and computational resources, as well, as a skilled workforce to create, implement and sustain advanced models. This presents difficulties for smaller organizations and in environments, with limited resources.

VI. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In the future there are possibilities, for incorporating XAI into carbon trading. Firstly, we can explore the idea of combining explanation techniques to create XAI models. This would make the explanations deeper and more understandable catering to the needs of stakeholders. Secondly by using technology we can establish an unchangeable record of XAI explanations and model predictions. This would enhance trust and accountability in automated carbon trading decisions. Lastly it would be beneficial to establish standards and frameworks for XAI in carbon trading through collaboration efforts. This way we can ensure consistency and fairness in market practices, across countries. Moreover, delving into the implementation of XAI (Explainable Artificial Intelligence) to optimize carbon offset projects has the potential to enhance the effectiveness and credibility of initiatives. By offering interpretable insights into project selection and validation XAI can play a role. Additionally developing user interactive interfaces, for XAI that enable stakeholders to explore and comprehend model predictions and decisions could foster wider acceptance and trust, in AI powered carbon trading mechanisms.

VII. CONCLUSION

A The integration of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) into the domain of carbon trading heralds a promising yet complex frontier, intertwining the intricate realms of artificial intelligence, environmental economics, and ethical considerations. This exploration has underscored the potential of XAI in enhancing transparency, accountability, and informed decision-making within carbon trading, navigating through its multifaceted nature, and providing stakeholders with comprehensible and actionable insights into AI-driven decisions and predictions. The hypothetical case studies and technical approaches elucidated herein, such as LIME and SHAP, provide a foundational framework, albeit with the acknowledgment of the nascent and

hypothetical nature of these applications within the specific context of carbon trading.

Moving forward, the challenges and future directions delineated in this discourse pave the way for meticulous, interdisciplinary, and ethical research and implementation in this domain. The balance between technical sophistication and ethical, comprehensible explainability will remain pivotal, ensuring that the integration of XAI into carbon trading not only enhances the efficiency and efficacy of trading mechanisms but also adheres to principles of fairness, inclusivity, and transparency. The journey towards the seamless and responsible integration of XAI into carbon trading remains an unfolding narrative, warranting continuous exploration, dialogue, and innovation across technological, ethical, and regulatory spectrums.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Tjoa and C. Guan, "A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (xai): toward medical xai", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, vol. 32, no. 11, p. 4793-4813, 2021.
- [2] A. Páez, "The pragmatic turn in explainable artificial intelligence (xai)", Minds and Machines, vol. 29, no. 3, p. 441-459, 2019.
- [3] D. Gunning, "Darpa's explainable artificial intelligence (xai) program", Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, 2019.
- [4] A. Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. Ser, A. Bennetot, S. Tabik, A. Barbadoet al., "Explainable artificial intelligence (xai): concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible ai", Information Fusion, vol. 58, p. 82-115, 2020.
- [5] D. Gunning, M. Stefik, J. Choi, T. Miller, S. Stumpf, & G. Yang, "Xai—explainable artificial intelligence", Science Robotics, vol. 4, no. 37, 2019.
- [6] B. Shi, N. Li, G. Qiang, & G. Li, "Market incentives, carbon quota allocation and carbon emission reduction: evidence from china's carbon trading pilot policy", Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 319, p. 115650, 2022.
- [7] J. U. Duncombe, "Infrared navigation—Part I: An assessment of feasibility," IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. ED-11, pp. 34-39, Jan. 1959.
- [8] X. Wang, J. Huang, & H. Liu, "Can china's carbon trading policy help achieve carbon neutrality? — a study of policy effects from the five-sphere integrated plan perspective", Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 305, p. 114357, 2022.
- [9] C. Spash, "The brave new world of carbon trading", New Political Economy, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 169-195, 2010.
- [10] S. Perdan and A. Azapagic, "Carbon trading: current schemes and future developments", Energy Policy, vol. 39, no. 10, p. 6040-6054, 2011.
- [11] T. Fawcett and Y. Parag, "An introduction to personal carbon trading", Climate Policy, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 329-338, 2010.
- [12] U. Schlegel, H. Arnout, M. El-Assady, D. Oelke, & D. Keim, "Towards a rigorous evaluation of xai methods on time series", 2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshop (ICCVW), 2019.
- [13] A. Gramegna and P. Giudici, "Shap and lime: an evaluation of discriminative power in credit risk", Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, 2021.
- [14] P. Mazumder, K. Asad, I. Joshi, & P. Singh, "Leveraging joint incremental learning objective with data ensemble for class incremental learning", Neural Networks, vol. 161, p. 202-212, 2023.
- [15] S. Kawakura, M. Hirafuji, S. Ninomiya, & R. Shibasaki, "Analyses of diverse agricultural worker data with explainable artificial intelligence: xai based on shap, lime, and lightgbm", European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences, vol. 4, no. 6, p. 11-19, 2022.

ers. developing research



International Journal of Engineering Research in Computer Science and Engineering (IJERCSE)

Vol 10, Issue 11, November 2023

- [16] M. Keane, E. Kenny, E. Delaney, & B. Smyth, "If only we had better counterfactual explanations: five key deficits to rectify in the evaluation of counterfactual xai techniques", Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2021.
- [17] M. Keane and B. Smyth, "Good counterfactuals and where to find them: a case-based technique for generating counterfactuals for explainable ai (xai)", Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development, p. 163-178, 2020.
- [18] Y. Bu, E. Wang, D. Möst, & M. Lieberwirth, "How population migration affects carbon emissions in china: factual and counterfactual scenario analysis", Technological Forecasting and Social Change, vol. 184, p. 122023, 2022.
- [19] H. Hu, S. Qi, & Y. Chen, "Using green technology for a better tomorrow: how enterprises and government utilize the carbon trading system and incentive policies", China Economic Review, vol. 78, p. 101933, 2023.
- [20] S. Luo and G. He, "Research on the influence of emission trading system on enterprises' green technology innovation", Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, vol. 2022, p. 1-9, 2022.
- [21] S. Hartmann and T. Schierl, "Applying blockchain to the australian carbon market", Economic Papers: A Journal of Applied Economics and Policy, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 133-151, 2019.
- [22] A. Lo and X. Yu, "Climate for business: opportunities for financial institutions and sustainable development in the chinese carbon market", Sustainable Development, vol. 23, no. 6, p. 369-380, 2015.
- [23] D. ÇETİN>, "Green bonds in climate finance and forecasting of corporate green bond index value with artificial intelligence", Journal of Research in Business, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 138-157, 2022.
- [24] J. Banga, "The green bond market: a potential source of climate finance for developing countries", Journal of Sustainable Finance & Amp; Investment, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 17-32, 2018.
- [25] A. Tiwari, E. Abakah, T. Le, & D. Hiz, "Markov-switching dependence between artificial intelligence and carbon price: the role of policy uncertainty in the era of the 4th industrial revolution and the effect of covid-19 pandemic", Technological Forecasting and Social Change, vol. 163, p. 120434, 2021.
- [26] Y. Zhang, Q. Ai, H. Wang, Z. Li, & K. Huang, "Bi-level distributed day-ahead schedule for islanded multi-microgrids in a carbon trading market", Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 186, p. 106412, 2020.
- [27] W. Hua, Y. Chen, M. Qadrdan, J. Jiang, H. Sun, & J. Wu, "Applications of blockchain and artificial intelligence technologies for enabling prosumers in smart grids: a review", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 161, p. 112308, 2022.
- [28] Y. Li, Y. Zhang, A. Pan, M. Han, & E. Veglianti, "Carbon emission reduction effects of industrial robot applications: heterogeneity characteristics and influencing mechanisms", Technology in Society, vol. 70, p. 102034, 2022.
- [29] J. Ding, F. Jin, Y. Li, & J. Wang, "Analysis of transportation carbon emissions and its potential for reduction in china", Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 17-25, 2013.
- [30] Y. Li, Y. Zhang, A. Pan, M. Han, & E. Veglianti, "Carbon emission reduction effects of industrial robot applications: heterogeneity characteristics and influencing mechanisms", Technology in Society, vol. 70, p. 102034, 2022.